Sub-packages, dependencies and information flow The case of the Autotools, Jitter and Poke

Luca Saiu positron@gnu.org http://ageinghacker.net GNU Project

GNU Hackers' Meeting 2019 Madrid, Spain September 6th 2019

About these slides: Copyright © Luca Saiu 2019, released under the CC BY-SA 4.0 license. Updated version, last changed on 2019-09-15. The master copy is at http://ageinghacker.net/talks/.



The code described here is published at http://ageinghacker.net/talks/nesting-sources--saiu--ghm-2019--2019-09-06.tar.gz .

Introduction

Poke, by José Marchesi, relies on Jitter, by me.

- Poke includes a compiler, generating code (at run time) for a VM generated (ahead of time) by Jitter
- Jitter is a dependency of Poke's
 - José's idea: distribute Jitter as part of Poke, *à-la-*Gnulib...
 - ... making Jitter a sub-package instead of a dependency.



A simplified example

The Automake manual contains a nice example:

- arm/ is program, requiring...
- ... hand/, a library

The hand/ source directory is contained within the arm/ source directory, as arm/hand/ so that hand/ is a *sub-package* of arm/'s. Both use Autoconf and Automake.

I expanded the example, writing C files and adding a configure-time option in hand/, to show you my point.



Demo, first version

[Demo]



Nesting, dependencies and information flow

Three questions about arm and arm/hand:

- which package depends on the other, at build time? (Obvious)
- which package depends on the other, at configuration time?
- which configure script passes information to the other? How does information flow between arm and arm/hand?



What if arm/ depended on arm/hand/'s configuration?

Imagine that arm/ needs to base some of its configure-time decisions on the configuration of hand/.

That is the case of Poke with Jitter and, I anticipate, of many other projects which could benefit from basing their configure- or make-time behavior on a sub-package's configure-time choices, if it were made easier.

This *information flowing* from the sub-package's configuration *back to the super-package configuration* does not fit AC_CONFIG_SUBDIRS as presented in the Autoconf and Automake manuals: configuring sub-packages at the time of AC_OUTPUT is too late!



Demo, second version

[Demo]



Luca Saiu

How to make this reusable

Nothing difficult: an Autoconf macro. It will be distributed along with Jitter in jitter.m4. [Thanks to Darshit Shah for suggesting *the GNU Autoconf Archive*. I will contact the Autoconf maintainers as well.]

Then, it will be usable from the super-package's configure.ac like any other Autoconf macro:

In arm/configure.ac

AC_CONFIG_SUBDIRS_NOW([hand])

(Likely with another name for the macro so as not to conflict with Autoconf's namespace, unless it becomes official.)



Demo, last version

[Demo]



The macro definition

In jitter.m4, for example

```
AC DEFUN([AC CONFIG SUBDIRS NOW], [
ac_config_files_backup="$ac_config_files"
ac config files=''
subdirs backup="$subdirs"
subdirs=''
AC CONFIG SUBDIRS([$1])
AC OUTPUT
rm config.status
ac config files="$ac config files backup"
subdirs="$subdirs backup"
])
```



The macro definition — possible minor refinements

In jitter.m4, for example

```
AC DEFUN([AC CONFIG SUBDIRS NOW], [
ac_config_files_backup="$ac_config_files"
ac config files=''
subdirs backup="$subdirs"
subdirs=''
AC CONFIG SUBDIRS([$1])
AC OUTPUT SUBDIRS # An internal macro.
                    # No need to delete config.status here.
ac config files="$ac config files backup"
subdirs="$subdirs backup"
])
```



What do you think?

Would you use this feature? Be it internally clean or not I find it useful, so I will make it available. The core macro described here is self-contained and independent from Jitter.

Feedback

I am particularly interested in feedback from Autoconf experts about any weakness of this simple solution.

Thanks.

